



**Business Services
Contracts Office**

5735 47th Avenue • Sacramento, CA 95824
(916) 643-2464

*Janea Marking, Chief Business Officer
Robert Aldama, Purchasing Manager II*

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Date: July 15, 2024

Issued by: Sacramento City Unified School District

**Project: Project #: 0825-465
Serna Center Complex Security Upgrades**

This addenda shall supersede the original Information, attachments, and specifications regarding Project No. 0825-465 where it adds to, deletes from, clarifies or otherwise modifies them. All other conditions and any previous addenda shall remain unchanged.

Part A – Bidding and Contract Requirements

AD1.01 QUESTION

Is the acoustical ceiling being replaced all throughout the lobby area or only where the hashed pattern is drawn on the RCP sheet?

AD1.01 ANSWER:

T-bar grid shown hatched is what the Architect assumes is the minimum amount required to complete work. If contractor has to remove more T-bar panels and grid in order to complete the balance of the contract work, this work shall be included in the base contract scope.

AD1.02 QUESTION:

Are there available ports in the existing patch panel? If there are not existing ports, is it the responsibility of the contractor to provide the patch panels and is there available space in the existing racks?

AD1.02 ANSWER:

Patch panel with sufficient open spots are in place.

Project No: 0825-465
Serna Center Complex
ADDENDUM NO. 1

AD1.03 QUESTION:

Will the District be responsible for the switches to connect the system to the network?

AD1.03 ANSWER:

Sufficient open switch ports are in place.

AD1.04 QUESTION:

Will the District be responsible for the connection to that network, or will the Contractor be providing patch cables?

AD1.04 ANSWER:

Contractor to provide and install patch cords.

AD1.05 QUESTION:

Does the District require Plenum or Riser rated cable?

AD1.05 ANSWER:

Riser cable is acceptable in non-plenum areas.

AD1.06 QUESTION:

There is no specification for the device cabling, only for the CAT6A network cable. Superior Essex does not make this type of cable. Will you accept an alternate for the access control device cable?

AD1.06 ANSWER:

YES and to be confirmed with product submittals.

AD1.07 QUESTION:

Part number AC-MER-CON-MR52 is invalid. Would part number AC-MER-CON-MR52-S3B be accepted?

AD1.07 ANSWER:

YES

Project No: 0825-465
Serna Center Complex
ADDENDUM NO. 1

AD1.08 QUESTION:

Part number AC-MER-CONT-MR62E is invalid. Would part number AC-MER-RIM-MR62E be accepted?

AD1.08 ANSWER:

YES

AD1.09 QUESTION:

Anitq bought Schlage so the reader part numbers have changed. Would AC-SCH-READER-MTB15 be accepted in place of AC-ING-READ-APTIQ-SNG-MT15?

AD1.09 ANSWER:

Will have to verify the APTIQ part number, however a Schlage MT15/MT15-485 is an acceptable alternative. (485 version to be used in conjunction with the MR62E)

AD1.10 QUESTION:

Anitq bought Schlage so the reader part numbers have changed. Would AC-SCH-READER-MTB11 be accepted in place of AC-ING-READ-APTIQ-SNG-MT11?

AD1.10 ANSWER:

Will have to verify the APTIQ part number, however a Schlage MT15/MT15-485 is an acceptable alternative. (485 version to be used in conjunction with the MR62E)

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

Acknowledgement of this Addendum will be required at time of bid: